

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,201	11/21/2003	Manfred Ueberschar	VOI0281.US	2807
75	90 09/01/2005		EXAM	INER
Todd T. Taylor			EDWARDS, LAURA ESTELLE	
Taylor & Aust,	P.C.			
142 S. Main Str	eet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 560			1734	
Avilla, IN 46710 DATE MAILE			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- * 6 7			<i>u</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/719,201	UEBERSCHAR ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Laura Edwards	1734	ı
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the 	lowing replies: (1) an amendment, a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reploy of the final rejection.	offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C by must be filed within the final rejection, whichever	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW C
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montle earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any	and the corresponding amount of the fee. statutory period for reply originally set in the hs after the mailing date of the final rejection pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, many the filed within two months, to avoid dismissal of	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any ths of the date of the appeal.
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set for	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a	а).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	onsideration and/or search (see NO low); etter form for appeal by materially re a corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). 	s): allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		rill be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filinentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessation. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the evidence is entered. 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Laura Edwards **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit: 1734

13. Other: _____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: apparatus claim 49 is deemed too broad. The combined teachings of Pallas et al and Knop is deemed obvious for reasons set forth in the previous office action and the proper motivation for the combination has been provided as required by <u>Graham v. Deere</u>. It is reasonable and within the purview of one skilled in the art to modify the Pallas et al apparatus, a one-sided coating apparatus to provide for two sided coating of a substrate as supported by Knop. The modification of the Pallas et al apparatus to effect one and/or two sided coating would not destroy it's primary function/operation to provide for a final adhesive coated corrugated product. Please note that claims 1-8 and 10-24 remain allowable.